

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Charles R. Norgle	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	Geraldine Soat Brown
CASE NUMBER	99 C 4604	DATE	10/18/2000
CASE TITLE	BFG vs. Ameritech		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

MOTION:

DOCKET ENTRY:

- (1) ☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]
- (2) ☐ Brief in support of motion due _____.
- (3) ☐ Answer brief to motion due _____. Reply to answer brief due _____.
- (4) ☐ Ruling/Hearing on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (5) ☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (6) ☐ Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (7) ☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on _____ at _____.
- (8) ☐ [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to _____ at _____.
- (9) ☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]
☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).
- (10) ☒ [Other docket entry] Report and recommendation recommending that the Plaintiffs' Motion for Voluntary Dismissal without prejudice of Count I of the Amended Complaint (Antitrust Claim) be GRANTED is hereby submitted to Judge Norgle as follows: Plaintiffs having moved pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a) to dismiss voluntarily and without prejudice Count I of the Amended Complaint (Antitrust Claim), and having represented that defendants have no objection to that motion, this court respectfully recommends that the Plaintiffs' said motion be granted, and that Count I of the Amended Complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without costs or fees to any party as to that claim. Specific written objections to this report and recommendation may be served and filed within 10 business days from the date that this order is served. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). Failure to file objections with the District Court within the specified time will result in a waiver of the right to appeal all findings, factual and legal, made by this Court in the report and recommendation. Lorentzen v. Anderson Pest Control, 64 F.3d 327, 330 (7th Cir. 1995). *Geraldine Soat Brown*
- (11) ☐ [For further detail see order (on reverse side of/attached to) the original minute order.]

<input type="checkbox"/>	No notices required, advised in open court.	ED-7 FILED FOR DOCKETING 00 OCT 23 AM 10:39	number of notices	Document Number 60
<input type="checkbox"/>	No notices required.		OCT 23 2000	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notices mailed by judge's staff.		date docketed	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notified counsel by telephone.		<i>JP</i>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Docketing to mail notices.		docketing deputy initials	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mail AO 450 form.		date mailed notice	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			
tw6 courtroom deputy's initials		Date/time received in central Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials	